

Short Research Article

Synthesis of $({}^{14}C_6-3,4,7,8,11,12)-(1E,5E,9E)$ -cyclododeca- $1,5,9$ -triene[†]

BRUCE N. DIEL*, MINGCHENG HAN and JONATHAN M. WHITE

Midwest Research Institute, 425 Volker Boulevard, Kansas City, MO 64110, USA

Received 31 August 2006; Revised 8 January 2007; Accepted 20 January 2007

Abstract: Trimerization of butadiene in the presence of Ni(0) affords (1E,5E,9E)-cyclododeca-1,5,9-triene 1 (ttt-CDT), (1E,5E,9Z)-cyclododeca-1,5,9-triene 2 (ttc-CDT), and other isomers/oligomers. After optimization of reaction conditions, $[{}^{14}C_6-3,4,7,8,11,12]$ -ttt-CDT 1 was synthesized efficiently either by homogenous or heterogeneous Ni(0) catalytic trimerization of $[1,4^{-14}C_2]$ butadiene 10, in 60–82% yield. Depending on the exact reaction conditions employed, the yields and ratio of $1/2$ ranged from (59–90%) / (41–10%). The all-trans isomer was conveniently isolated via Ag * -mediated reversed-phase HPLC. The important intermediate [1,4- 14 C₂]-1,3-butadiene $\bf{10}$ was prepared from potassium 1^{14} Clcyanide and 1,2-dibromoethane 3 as starting materials, in seven steps with a 57% yield. The total radioactive yield of $[^{14}C_6-3,4,7,8,11,12]-ttt$ -CDT **1** is 30% from $[^{14}C/KCN$. Copyright \odot 2007 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Keywords: trans,trans,trans-cyclododecatriene; cyclotrimerization; homogeneous catalysis; butadiene; carbon-14

Introduction

The cyclotrimerization of butadiene is a key reaction in homogeneous $catalysis¹$ and several transition metal and Ziegler–Natta catalyst systems have been reported.2 By far, nickel complexes have been found to be the most versatile, 3 and $Ni^{(0)}$ -catalyzed reactions have been studied in greatest detail. 4 In such systems, the $[Ni^0$ (butadiene)_x] complex has been shown to be the active catalyst, and the all-trans-isomer is the predominant product, while the all-cis-isomer is not formed.⁵

Susán and Duncan synthesized $[{}^{14}C_6]$ -cyclododecatriene from $[{}^{14}C_2$ -1,4]-1,3-butadiene using a Zieglertype catalyst system, in $1981⁶$ In their report, the overall radiochemical yield was \sim 15%, the product was 98% cis,trans,trans-CDT 2, and only 2% trans, trans,trans-CDT 1, and separation and isolation of the individual isomers were not addressed. Herein we describe a high conversion, high stereoselectivity synthetic strategy (Scheme 1) that allowed synthesis

Copyright \odot 2007 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

of all-trans $[{}^{14}C_6$ -3,4,7,8,11,12]-ttt-CDT **1** as the predominant isomer. Additionally, we accomplished the separation and purification of the ttt-CDT isomer using a Ag⁺ -mediated reversed-phase LC method, providing the product as a white crystalline solid with a chemical purity and radiochemical purity of >99%, and at a specific activity of 43 mCi/mmol.

Results and discussion

The first requirement for this synthetic approach was a high-yield process for the generation of doubly labeled intermediate $[{}^{14}C_2$ -1,4]-1,3-butadiene **10**. As illustrated in Scheme 1, we chose as precursor the quaternary ammonium hydroxide **9** (SA \sim 15 mCi/ mmol), which was prepared via reaction of $[{}^{14}C]KCN$ $(SA \sim 7.5$ mCi/mmol) and 1,2-dibromoethane, following published methods, in six steps overall.^{3,7}

^{*}Correspondence to: Bruce N. Diel, Midwest Research Institute, Chemical Sciences, 425 Volker Boulevard, Kansas City, MO 64110, USA. E-mail: bdiel@mriresearch.org

[†]Proceedings of the Ninth International Symposium on the Synthesis and Applications of Isotopically Labelled Compounds, Edinburgh, 16–20 July 2006.

Scheme 1

Table 1 Optimization of butadiene cyclotrimerization^a

Entry	Method	(mmol/ml)	$Ni (acac)_{2}$ (mg/mmol)	$\text{AIE}t_{3}$ $(\mu l/mm$	Solvent/(ml)	Yield $(\%)$	ttt:ttc	Color
	\mathbf{A}	11.5/1	119/0.04	100/0.2	toluene $/2.0$	14	90:10	Light brown
2	В	11.5/1	110/0.4	1.0/2	toluene/5.0	22	N/A	Black
3	В	13.8/1.2	27/0.1	220/0.4	toluene $/2.0$	78	66:34	Black
4	A	30/2.6	27/0.1	220/0.4	toluene/5.0	82	67:33	Brown
5	A	11.4/1	27/0.1	220/0.4	toluene/5.0	60	79:21	Brown
6	Α	11.4/1	27/0.1	220/0.4	$1,5$ -COD/5.0	34	59:41	Yellow
7 ^b	A	28.8/2.7	34/0.12	277/0.5	toluene/5.0	78	67:13	Brown

 $^{\rm a}$ Reaction conditions: sealed glass vessel, 80°C, 20 h under He. $^{\rm b}$ 'Hot run,' using labeled butadiene; yield is total mCi isolated.

Method A: AlEt₃ to a mixture of Ni(acac)₂/butadiene in solution.

Method B: Butadiene condensed into preformed $Ni(\text{acac})_2/AIEt_3$ catalyst solution.

Refluxing of 1,2-dibromoethane 3 (1 equiv.) with $[{}^{14}$ C]KCN (1 equiv.) in 70% EtOH(aq.) gave $[{}^{14}C_2$ -1, 4]succinonitrile 4 in 87% yield (based on KCN). Acidic hydrolysis of 4 with conc. HCl under refluxing conditions afforded $\binom{14}{2}$ -1,4]succinic acid 5 in quantitative yield. The reduction of 5 with borane–THF at 0° C provided diol 6 (94%), which was easily converted into the dibromide 7 by refluxing the diol 6 with 48% HBr. Reaction of $[{}^{14}C_{2}-1,4]-1,4$ -dibromobutane **7** with trimethylamine gas in ethyl alcohol provided the ammonium bromide 8. Compound 8 was converted to the corresponding ammonium hydroxide in quantitative yield using freshly prepared silver(I) oxide, generated in situ. Finally, the generation of $1^{14}C_2$ -1,3]butadiene 10 was accomplished by pyrolysis of 9, passing the evolved gases first through a $2 N H_2SO_4$ gas scrubber to remove $Me₃N(g)$, followed by passage over $\mathop{\hbox{\rm Directite}}\nolimits^{\hbox{\rm TM}}$ to remove water.

A limited number of cyclotrimerization experiments were conducted to optimize the reaction conditions, with the intent of maximizing total conversion and isomer-selectivity. (Table 1) Consideration was given to (i) the reduction of Ni(II) in the presence of the butadiene (Method A) versus addition of butadiene to a zerovalent 'bare' nickel complex (Method B), (ii) the ratio of Ni:butadiene, and (iii) the ratio of AlEt₃:butadiene. Based on the results of these experiments, the conditions used for Entry 4 were employed for execution of the 'hot run,' using the $\left[{}^{14}C_{2}$ -1,4]-1,3-butadiene 10; these results are shown as Entry 7, and the ratio of ttt-CDT:ttc-CDT is the actual total isolated activity (mCi) for each isomer (Table 1).

Separation and purification of the ttt-CDT and ttc-CDT isomers were accomplished using Ag⁺-mediated complexation-based chromatography. Complexationbased chromatography (GC, LC), involving electronrich metal salts such as $AgNO_3$, $Rh(OAc)_2$, etc. has been studied extensively and there are two basic approaches for LC separation: (i) π -complex formation between the analyte and metal ions dissolved in the mobile phase,⁸ and (ii) π -complexing metal ions immobilized on the stationary phase.⁹

The mixture of isomers 1 and 2 separated successfully by reversed-phase flash chromatography (VersaPackTM C18 Cartridge, 40×75 mm), eluting with Ag⁺ salt) gave high pure compound 1.

Conclusion

A new synthesis has been developed and the reaction conditions optimized to provide high purity $[{}^{14}C_6$ -3,4,7,8,11,12]-(1E,5E,9E)-cyclododeca-1,5,9-triene in 30% overall radioactive yield from $[{}^{14}$ C]-KCN.

REFERENCES

1. (a) Jolly PW, Wilke G. In The Organic Chemistry of Nickel, Vol. 2, Organic Synthesis. Academic Press:

New York, 1975; 133–212; (b) Jolly PW. In Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry, vol. 8, Wilkinson G, Stone FGA, Abel EW (eds). Pergamon: New York, 1982; 671–711.

- 2. (a) Wilke G. Angew Chem 1957; 69: 397; (b) Breil H, Heimbach P, Kröner M, Müller H, Wilke G, Makromol Chem 1963; 69: 18.
- 3. (a) Wilke G. Angew Chem 1963; 75: 10; Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 1963; 2: 105; (b) Wilke G. Angew Chem 1988; 100: 189; Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 1988; 27: 185.
- 4. (a) Wilke G. Pure Appl Chem 1978; 50: 677; (b) Wilke G. J Organomet Chem 1980; 200: 349.
- 5. Bogdanovic B, Heimbach P, Kröner M, Wilke G. Liebigs Ann Chem 1969; 727: 143.
- 6. Susán AB, Duncan WP. J Label Compd Radiopharm 1981; 18: 1227.
- 7. (a) Hara T, Xu YJ, Sasaki H, Niitu M, Samejima K. J Label Compd Radiopharm 2000; 43: 1005; (b) Bottari P, Aebersold R, Turecek F, Gelb MH. Bioconj Chem 2004; 15: 380.
- 8. See, for example: (a) Schomburg G, Zegarski K. J Chromatogr 1975; 114: 174; (b) Vonach B, Schomburg G. J Chromatogr 1978; 149: 417.
- 9. Houx NWH, Voerman S. J Chromatogr 1976; 129: 456.